

# ON OPTIMAL DISCRETE CORRECTION OF THE FORCED MOTION OF STOCHASTIC SYSTEMS

(OB OPTIMAL'NOI DISKRETNOM KORREKTSII VYNUZHDENNOGO DVIZHENIA STOKHASTICHESKIKH SISTEM)

*PMM Vol. 30, No. 5, 1966, pp. 908-914*

Iu. P. GUS'KOV  
(Moscow)

*(Received May 28, 1965)*

The problem of synthesizing the optimal control of the finite state of a linear stochastic system is considered. The problem reduces to the solution of Bellman's functional equations. Bellman's equations are solved with reference to the problem of guidance toward a specified phase point.

**1. Statement of the problem.** Let us consider the controlled system described by the equations

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + A(t)y = B(t)f(t), \quad f(t) = w(t) + q(t) \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $y$  is the  $n$ -vector of the phase coordinates  $y_i$ ;  $A = \{a_{kj}\}$  and  $B = \{b_{ir}\}$  are certain matrices of dimensions  $n \times n$  and  $n \times s$ , respectively,  $w$  is the  $s$ -vector function of Markov-type random perturbations and  $q$  is the  $q$ -vector of the discrete controlling signals  $q_k$ .

The control is understood to be discrete in the sense that the magnitudes of the controlling signals over the given correction intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$  are determined at the initial instant  $t_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ ) of the interval.

The association of the variable quantity with the instant  $t_i$  will be denoted by the superscript  $i$ .

It is assumed that the system (1.1) is completely controllable [1 and 2], that the phase coordinates  $y_i$  are measurable, and that the a priori distribution of perturbations  $w_k$  is known.

Our problem is to determine on the basis of information about the instantaneous values of the phase coordinate vector  $y(t)$  a control  $q = q(y)$  which, by the specified instant  $t_{\nu+1}$ , brings the system (1.1) from the state  $y(t_1) = y^1$  to some state  $y(t_{\nu+1}) = y^{\nu+1}$  under the condition of minimization of the mathematical expectation of the prescribed positive function  $\omega(y^{\nu+1})$ . Thus, our task is that of finding the control which minimizes the functional

$$I = \langle \omega (y^{v+1}) \rangle \quad (1.2)$$

The angle brackets here denote the mathematical expectation.

Formulated this way, our problem is related to that of the analytical construction of a regulator [3]. The stochastic aspect of the problem has been dealt with in several papers, of which [4] and [5] may be noted here.

**2. Discretization of the process.** Within the time interval under consideration, the general solution of system (1.1) is of the form

$$y(t) = N(t, t_1) y^1 + \int_{t_1}^t N(t, \tau) B(\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $N(t, \tau) = Y(t) Y^{-1}(\tau)$  is the matrix function of the weight of system (1.1),  $Y$  is the fundamental matrix of the homogeneous equation of (1.1) normalized for  $t = t_1$  and  $Y^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix.

Let us suppose that we know the points  $y^i = (y_1^i, \dots, y_n^i)$  and  $y^{i+1} = (y_1^{i+1}, \dots, y_n^{i+1})$ , through which the phase trajectory (2.1) in one of the actual realizations passes at the instants  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$ , respectively, representing the end points of the  $i$ -th correction interval.

On the basis of (2.1), let us express the vector of the final state of system (1.1) as a function of  $y^i$  and  $y^{i+1}$ . We obtain

$$y^{v+1} = N(t_{v+1}, t_i) y^i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{v+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) B(\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.2)$$

$$y^{v+1} = N(t_{v+1}, t_{i+1}) y^{i+1} + \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_{v+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) B(\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (2.3)$$

Subtracting (2.3) from (2.2) we find, that

$$N(t_{v+1}, t_{i+1}) y^{i+1} = N(t_{v+1}, t_i) y^i + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) \left[ B(\tau) w(\tau) + \sum_{k=1}^s B_k(\tau) q_k(\tau) \right] d\tau \quad (i = 1, \dots, v) \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $B_k$  is the  $k$ -th column vector of the matrix  $B$ . Further, we introduce the vectors

$$m^{i+1} = N(t_{v+1}, t_{i+1}) y^{i+1} + \int_{t_{i+1}}^{t_{v+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) \langle w(\tau) \rangle d\tau \quad (i = 0, \dots, v) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\varepsilon^j = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) B(\tau) [w(\tau) - \langle w(\tau) \rangle] d\tau, \quad Q_k^j = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} N(t_{v+1}, \tau) B_k(\tau) q_k(\tau) d\tau \quad (j = 1, \dots, v; k = 1, \dots, s) \quad (2.6)$$

Here  $\langle w \rangle = (\langle w_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle w_s \rangle)$  is the mathematical expectation of the random vector function  $w$ .

By means of the vectors and relation (2.4) the finite state control process can be represented as a Markov chain by virtue of the discreteness of the controlling signals.

In fact, substituting (2.4) into (2.5) and taking into account Expression (2.6), we obtain

$$m^{i+1} = m^i + \varepsilon^i + \sum_{k=1}^s Q_k^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, \nu) \quad (2.7)$$

which defines (since  $y^{\nu+1} = m^{\nu+1}$ )  $\nu$ , i.e. the stepwise process of variation of the finite state as determined by the random vectors  $\varepsilon^i$  and by the controlling signals  $Q_k^i(q_k)$ .

By virtue of the random character of the vectors  $\varepsilon^i$ , transformation (2.7) associates with specific values of  $m^i$  and  $Q_k^i$  some set of random realizations of the vector  $m^{i+1}$ . The law of distribution of this set, apart from the values of the vectors  $m^i$  and  $Q_k^i$ , depends on the distribution of the random vector  $\varepsilon^i$ .

**3. Bellman's equations.** In order to determine the optimal control for system (1.1) we turn to the method of dynamic programming [6]. Here we assume that the controlling signals  $q_k$  belong to the class of functions for which the relation

(3.1)

$$Q_k^i = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} N(t_{i+1}, \tau) B_k(\tau) q_k(\tau) d\tau = H_k^i u_k^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, \nu; \quad k = 1, \dots, s)$$

is fulfilled.

Here  $H_k^i$  is a certain vector which is independent of  $q_k$  and  $u_k^i$  are the control parameters constituting the vector  $u^i = (u_1^i, \dots, u_s^i)$ .

With consideration of (3.1), relation (2.7) becomes

$$m^{i+1} = m^i + \varepsilon^i + \sum_{k=1}^s H_k^i u_k^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, \nu) \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $y^{\nu+1} = m^{\nu+1}$ , the problem of synthesizing the optimal control of system (1.1) consists in finding the sequence of vector functions  $u^i = u^i(m^i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ ), which optimizes Markov process (3.2) in the sense of minimization of criterion (1.2).

Following the method of dynamic programming, we introduce the notation

$$\Omega_k(m^j) = \min_{u^i} I = \min_{u^i} \langle \omega(y^{\nu+1}) \rangle \quad (k = 1, \dots, \nu; \quad j = \nu + 1 - k)$$

Here  $\Omega_k(m^j)$  is the minimum value of the criterion in a process consisting of  $k$  steps and beginning with the state  $m^j$ . Minimization is effected with respect to the vector controls  $u^i = u^i(m^i)$ , and the mathematical expectation is computed from the set of random vectors  $\varepsilon^i$  ( $i = j, \dots, \nu$ ).

Finding the optimal control then reduces to the solution of Bellman's functional  $\Omega_k(m^j)$  and  $\Omega_{k-1}(m^{j+1})$ . For the process under consideration, Bellman's equations are of the form



process as determined by the solution of Equations (4.1) is formed in accordance with the law

$$u_\alpha^j = - \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^j}{\Delta^j} (m^j \cdot R_\beta^j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, \nu; \alpha = 1, \dots, s) \quad (4.7)$$

while the minimum value of the criterion is

$$\Omega_\nu(m^1) = \left( m^1 - \sum_{i=1}^\nu \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_\alpha^i \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^i}{\Delta^i} (m^1 \cdot R_\beta^i) \right)^2 + \left\langle \sum_{r=1}^\nu (\psi^r)^2 \right\rangle \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Delta^i = \det |r_{\beta\alpha}^i| \neq 0, \quad r_{\beta\alpha}^i = R_\beta^i \cdot R_\alpha^i \quad (i = 1, \dots, \nu; \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, s)$$

Here  $A_{\beta\alpha}^i$  is the algebraic complement of the term  $r_{\beta\alpha}^i$  in the determinant  $\Delta^i$ .

The vectors  $R_\alpha^i$  are given by the recurrent relation

$$R_\alpha^\nu = H_\alpha^\nu, \quad R_\alpha^{\nu-j} = H_\alpha^{\nu-j} - \sum_{x=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_\gamma^{\nu-x} \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu-x}}{\Delta^{\nu-x}} (H_\alpha^{\nu-j} \cdot R_\beta^{\nu-x}) \quad (4.9)$$

$$(i = 1, \dots, \nu - 1; \alpha = 1, \dots, s)$$

The random vectors  $\psi^r$  are formed with the aid of a relation analogous in structure to (4.9),

$$\psi^\nu = \varepsilon^\nu, \quad \psi^{\nu-j} = \varepsilon^{\nu-j} - \sum_{x=0}^{j-1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_\gamma^{\nu-x} \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu-x}}{\Delta^{\nu-x}} (\varepsilon^{\nu-j} \cdot R_\beta^{\nu-x}) \quad (4.10)$$

$$(j = 1, \dots, \nu - 1)$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Recurrent relation (4.9), where  $H_\alpha^i$  are arbitrary vectors of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space generates the set of vectors  $R_\alpha^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \nu; \alpha = 1, \dots, s$ ), in which all the vectors with different superscripts are pairwise orthogonal.

*Proof.* We must show that

$$R_\alpha^{\nu-x} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y} = 0 \quad (x = 1, \dots, \nu - 1; y = 0, \dots, x - 1; \alpha, \varepsilon = 1, \dots, s) \quad (4.11)$$

The validity of (4.11) for  $x = 1$  directly verifiable. The proof of identities (4.11) for any  $x$  can be carried out by induction. We shall show that if Equations (4.11) are valid for  $x = 1, \dots, k$ , then they are also valid for  $x = k + 1$ .

In accordance with (4.9), for the scalar product of the vectors  $R_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)}$  and  $R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y}$  we have

$$R_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y} = H_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y} - \sum_{x=0}^k \sum_{\gamma=1}^s (R_\gamma^{\nu-x} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y}) \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu-x}}{\Delta^{\nu-x}} (H_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_\beta^{\nu-x}) \quad (4.12)$$

Under our assumption as regards the validity of (4.11) for  $x = 1, \dots, k$ , relation (4.12) becomes

$$R_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y} = H_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_\varepsilon^{\nu-y} - \left( \sum_{\beta=1}^s R_\beta^{\nu-y} \sum_{\gamma=1}^s \frac{r_{\varepsilon\gamma}^{\nu-y} \cdot A_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu-y}}{\Delta^{\nu-y}} \right) \cdot H_\alpha^{\nu-(k+1)} \quad (4.13)$$

Since, in accordance with the properties of the algebraic complements of the determinant,

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^s \frac{r_{\varepsilon\gamma}^{\nu-y} A_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu-y}}{\Delta^{\nu-y}} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\beta = \varepsilon) \\ 0 & (\beta \neq \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

it follows on the basis of (4.13) that we have the required expression

$$R_{\alpha}^{\nu-(k+1)} \cdot R_{\varepsilon}^{\nu-y} = 0$$

The induction is now complete and the lemma has been proved.

Now let us prove the validity of expressions (4.7) and (4.8) for any  $\nu$ .

We shall show that if a relation of the form (4.8) is valid for a  $k$ -step optimal process which begins with the state  $m^j$ , then it is also valid for an optimal process consisting of  $k + 1$  steps. Here the control  $u^{oj-1}$ , which carries the system from the state  $m^{j-1}$  the state  $m^j$ , must be formed in accordance with the law (4.7).

For a  $k$ -step optimal process let us have

$$\Omega_k(m^j) = \left( m^j - \sum_{i=j}^{\nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_{\alpha}^i \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^i}{\Delta^i} (m^j \cdot R_{\beta}^i) \right)^2 + \left\langle \sum_{r=j}^{\nu} (\psi^r)^2 \right\rangle \quad (4.14)$$

$(j = \nu + 1 - k)$

Replacing  $m^j$  in (4.14) by its expression in accordance with (3.2) and taking account of (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_k(m^{j-1}) = & \left( m^{j-1} - \sum_{i=j}^{\nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_{\alpha}^i \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^i}{\Delta^i} (m^{j-1} \cdot R_{\beta}^i) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_{\gamma}^{j-1} u_{\gamma}^{j-1} + \psi^{j-1} \right)^2 + \left\langle \sum_{r=j}^{\nu} (\psi^r)^2 \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

In accordance with (4.1), for the optimal control we have

$$\Omega_{k+1}(m^{j-1}) = \min_{u^{j-1}} \langle \Omega_k(m^{j-1}) \rangle \quad (4.16)$$

Since the mathematical expectation of the vector  $\psi^{j-1}$  is equal to zero, substitution of (4.15) into (4.16) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k+1}(m^{j-1}) = \min_{u^{j-1}} & \left( m^{j-1} - \sum_{i=j}^{\nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_{\alpha}^i \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^i}{\Delta^i} (m^{j-1} \cdot R_{\beta}^i) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_{\gamma}^{j-1} u_{\gamma}^{j-1} \right)^2 + \left\langle \sum_{r=j-1}^{\nu} (\psi^r)^2 \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The vector control  $u^{oj-1}$ , which minimizes the right-hand side of (4.17), is given by the solution of the system of equations

$$\left( m^{j-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_{\gamma}^{j-1} u_{\gamma}^{j-1} \right) \cdot R_{\varepsilon}^{j-1} - \sum_{i=j}^{\nu} \sum_{\alpha=1}^s (R_{\alpha}^i \cdot R_{\varepsilon}^{j-1}) \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^i}{\Delta^i} (m^{j-1} \cdot R_{\beta}^i) = 0$$

$(\varepsilon = 1, \dots, s)$



Since  $R_\alpha^i = 0$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, s; i = 1, \dots, \nu - 2$ ), relation (4.8), taking account of (4.10), becomes

$$\Omega_\nu(m^1) = \min_u I = \left[ m^1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_\alpha^{\nu-1} \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^{\nu-1}}{\Delta^{\nu-1}} (m^1 \cdot R_\beta^{\nu-1}) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^s R_\alpha^\nu \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\alpha}^\nu}{\Delta^\nu} (m^1 \cdot R_\beta^\nu) \right]^2 + \left\langle (\varepsilon^\nu)^2 + \left( \varepsilon^{\nu-1} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_\gamma^\nu \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\gamma}^\nu}{\Delta^\nu} (\varepsilon^{\nu-1} \cdot R_\beta^\nu) \right)^2 \right\rangle \quad (5.1)$$

It is easy to show that the coefficients of the basis vectors  $R_1^{\nu-1}, \dots, R_s^{\nu-1}, R_1^\nu, \dots, R_s^\nu$  in the square bracket in the right-hand side of (5.1) are the coordinates of the  $n$ -vector  $m^1$  relative to the indicated basis.

Hence, for the minimum value of the criterion we obtain

$$\min_u I = \left\langle (\varepsilon^\nu)^2 + \left( \varepsilon^{\nu-1} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^s R_\gamma^\nu \sum_{\beta=1}^s \frac{A_{\beta\gamma}^\nu}{\Delta^\nu} (\varepsilon^{\nu-1} \cdot R_\beta^\nu) \right)^2 \right\rangle$$

In conclusion, the author should like to thank A.M. Letov for discussing the present paper.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Kalman, R.E. Ob obshchei teorii sistem upravleniia. (On the general theory of control systems). In the collection Trudy 1-go kongressa IFAK, (Proceedings of the 1st Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control), Vol. 1, Izd-vo Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1961.
2. Krasovskii, N.N., Ob obshchei zadache presledovaniia, (On the general problem of pursuit) *PMM*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 1962.
3. Letov, A.M., Analiticheskoe konstruirovaniie regulatorov, (Analytical design of regulators), Parts I-V. *Avtomatika i telemekhanika*, Vol. 21, Nos. 4-6, 1960; Vol. 22, No. 4, 1961; Vol. 23, No. 11, 1962.
4. Krasovskii, N.N. and Lidskii, E.A., Analiticheskoe konstruirovaniie regulatorov v stokhasticheskikh sistemakh pri ogranicheniiax na skorost' izmeneniia upravliaiushchego vozdeistviia (Analytical design of regulators in stochastic systems with limitations imposed on the rate of change of the controlling signal). *PMM* Vol. 25, No. 3, 1961.
5. Ponomarev, V.M., O sinteze optimal'noi sistemy upravleniia (On the synthesis of an optimal control system). *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Tekhnicheskaiia kibernetika*, No. 5, 1963.
6. Bellman, R., Protsesty regulirovaniia s adaptatsiei (Control processes with adaptation). Izd-vo 'Nauka', 1964.

Translated by A.Y.